

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PAGE 10, Dox 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/680,088	10/05/2000	Seiji Nabeshima	1396-00	8261	
35811	7590 03/05/2004		EXAMINER		
IP DEPARTMENT OF PIPER RUDNICK LLP			YEE, DEBORAH		
	LOGAN SQUARE ARCH STREETS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADEL	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103		1742	1742	
			DATE MAILED: 03/05/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<b>A</b>	mr
21.00	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	•
_	09/680,088	NABESHIMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Deborah Yee	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shet wi	th the correspondence address	<b>}</b> .
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON . cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 N	ovember 2003.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 0.5 00 is/are: a) acc	epted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-13	)Z.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)		· ,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 17</li> </ul>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/680,088

Art Unit: 1742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 20, 2003 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European patent 512118, submitted by applicant on November 20, 2003.

EP'118 discloses a rust-resistant steel example in Table 4 on page 8 containing 6-15ppm Ca which is within the claimed Ca range of 0.0005% or more, and also contains an extremely low S content of 0.004 to 0.008%. Even though prior art does not specifically teach that at least 80% of inclusions of 2 microns or larger will have an equilibrium sulfur soluble amount of 0.03wt% or less as recited by claim 1, such would be expected since similar process and properties are obtain. Note that similar to the

Application/Control Number: 09/680,088

717 Octivity (1017)

Art Unit: 1742

present invention, EP'118 alloy is produced by deoxidizing with AI and Ti followed by adding Ca to form inclusions CaO-AI2O3 with CaS around it and then the CaS is hydrolyzed and dissolved in water, therefore having a high solubility level, see lines 15 to 54 on page 6. Moreover, EP'118 discloses improving rust resistance, low surface defects, and nozzle-clogging reduction during processing.

Even though prior art does not teach the process equations recited in claim 3, such would not be closely met, since the properties attributed to the equations are taught by prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272-1253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 to 2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DEBORAHYEE
PRIMARY EXAMINER